# FY2016 Montana 319 Nonpoint Source Project Scoring Sheet

*(To be used as a guide to stimulate Agency Review Panel discussion)*

Project Name:

Project Sponsor:

Reviewed By:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Requirements** | **Y/N** |
| The project addresses nonpoint source (NPS) pollution. |  |
| The project addresses water quality objectives identified in the 2012 Montana Nonpoint Source Management Plan. |  |
| The project will directly implement recommendations in a DEQ-accepted Watershed Restoration Plan (WRP). |  |
| The project addresses impairments identified on Montana’s 2014 List of Impaired Waters. |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **General Application Considerations** | | **Score\***  (0, 1, 2 or 3) | **Weight** | **Points**  **Awarded\*\*** |
| **A** | **Agency Review Panel** | | | |
| A1 | The project is the most appropriate next step for making progress towards the removal of a pollutant/waterbody combination from Montana’s 2014 Impaired Waters list. |  | 6 |  |
| A2 | Nonpoint source goals for the project are clearly defined, measurable, and attainable. |  | 3 |  |
| A3 | Pre-project planning has taken place to help ensure the timely and successful completion of the project. |  | 3 |  |
| A4 | The project will promote self-maintaining, natural, ecological, and social processes that will protect water quality. |  | 4 |  |
| A5 | The project involves appropriate partners and has significant stakeholder support. |  | 2 |  |
| A6 | The project has clearly identified tasks that include adequate information to describe work to be accomplished. |  | 3 |  |
| A7 | The monitoring component(s) will evaluate project effectiveness. |  | 2 |  |
| A8 | The outreach and education component(s) of the project targets the most appropriate audience(s). |  | 3 |  |
| A9 | For outreach and education components, the methods of delivery are appropriate for the audience and for achieving project goals. |  | 2 |  |
| A10 | Project costs are reasonable as compared to anticipated results. |  | 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **B** | **Montana DEQ** *(This section will be completed by DEQ staff prior to the Agency Review.)* | | | |
| B1 | The project addresses the most significant source(s) of nonpoint source pollution within a watershed. |  | 2 |  |
| B2 | The project addresses water quality impairments identified in a TMDL document. |  | 3 |  |
| B3 | The project addresses nonpoint source pollution from agriculture (livestock and/or farming), forestry, mining or urban runoff. |  | 2 |  |
| B4 | Sufficient technical and managerial resources are available to facilitate completion of the project, including consideration of past performance. |  | 4 |  |
| B5 | If awarded a contract, contractor will have no more than two active 319 contracts. |  | 2 |  |
| *\*1, 2 and 3 correspond to Low, Medium and High, respectively (i.e. 3 = High). 0 indicates the application does not address the question.*  *\*\*To calculate Points Awards, multiply the Score by the Weight Factor (i.e. 3 x 2= 6 Points Awarded)* | | | | |
| **Agency Review Panel Score** | | |  | |
| **Montana DEQ Score** | | |  | |
| **Total Score** | | |  | |
| **Funding Recommendation**  ***FF*** *= Fully Fund,* ***PF+*** *= Partial Fund (>50%),* ***PF–*** *= Partial fund (<50%),* ***NF*** *= Not Fund* | | |  | |

***Reviewer comments and justification for funding recommendation.***

**General Comments**:

**Tasks and Budget Recommendations**:

**Additional Information Required from Sponsor**: