

WATERSHED RESTORATION PROJECT

Project Name: _____

Project Sponsor: _____

Reviewed By: _____

General Application Considerations		Score* (0, 1, 2 or 3)	Weight	Points Awarded**
A	Agency Review Panel			
A1	The project is likely to result in the removal of a pollutant/waterbody combination from Montana's 2012 Impaired Waters list.		8	
A2	The application provides a good description of how the proposed approach has been well planned and is most appropriate for achieving overall watershed/water quality goals and objectives.		3	
A3	The project will promote self-maintaining, natural, ecological processes that will protect water quality.		2	
A4	The project involves appropriate partners and has significant stakeholder support.		2	
A5	The project has clearly identified tasks that include adequate information to describe work to be accomplished.		3	
A6	The on-the-ground project will be useful for outreach and education.		2	
A7***	The project will significantly reduce or prevent nonpoint source pollution, or is critical in leading to eventual pollutant reduction or prevention.		4	
A8***	Water quality goals for the project are clearly defined and attainable.		2	
A9***	Pre-project planning has taken place to help ensure the timely and successful completion of the project.		3	
A10***	Project costs are reasonable as compared to anticipated results.		3	

B	Montana DEQ (This section will be completed by DEQ staff prior to the Agency Review)			
B1	The project addresses the most significant source(s) of nonpoint source pollution within a watershed.		2	
B2	The project addresses water quality objectives identified in a TMDL document.		3	
B3	The project addresses nonpoint source pollution from silviculture, agriculture (livestock and/or farming), or urban runoff.		2	
B4	The project addresses probable causes of water quality impairment for a water body on the 2012 Impaired Waters list.		2	
B5***	The project addresses water quality objectives identified in a Watershed Restoration Plan (WRP) or includes the development of a WRP meeting EPA's nine minimum key elements.		4	
B6***	The project addresses water quality objectives identified in the 2012 Montana Nonpoint Source Management Plan.		3	
B7***	Sufficient technical and managerial resources are available to facilitate completion of the project, including consideration of past performance.		3	

*1, 2 and 3 correspond to Low, Medium and High, respectively (i.e. 3 = High). 0 indicates the application does not address the question.

**To calculate Points Awards, multiply the Score by the Weight Factor (i.e. 3 x 2= 6 Points Awarded)

***Giving a zero rating in this category is equivalent to saying the project should not be funded by the 319 grant program.

Agency Review Panel Score		
Montana DEQ Score		
Total Score		
Funding Recommendation	FF = Fully Fund, PF+ = Partial Fund (>50%), PF- + Partial fund (<50%), NF = Not Fund	

Reviewer comments and justification for funding recommendation:

General Comments: _____

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are approximately 20 lines visible. The paper has a slight shadow on its right side, suggesting it's resting on a surface.

Tasks and Budget Recommendations: _____

[illegible]

Additional Information Required from Sponsor: _____

[illegible]